arXiv:1212.3213v2 [math.DG] 15 Dec 2012

THE GAUSS-BONNET-CHERN MASS OF CONFORMALLY FLAT MANIFOLDS

YUXIN GE, GUOFANG WANG, AND JIE WU

Abstract. In this paper we show positive mass theorems and Penrose type inequalities for the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass, which was introduced recently in [20], for asymptotically flat CF manifolds and its rigidity.

1. Introduction

Recently motivated by the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory [9, 38] and the pure Lovelock theory [33, 15], we introduced in [20] (and [21]) the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass by using the Gauss-Bonnet curvature

$$L_k := \frac{1}{2^k}\delta^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}}_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}} R_{i_1 i_2}{}^{j_1 j_2} \cdots R_{i_{2k-1} i_{2k}}{}^{j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}. \tag{1.1}$$

When $k = 1$, L_1 is just the scalar curvature R. When $k = 2$, it is the (second) so-called the Gauss-Bonnet curvature

$$L_2 = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2,$$

which appeared at the first time in the paper of Lanczos [29] in 1938. For general k it is the Euler integrand in the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem [13, 14] if $n = 2k$ and is therefore called the dimensional continued Euler density in physics if $k < n/2$. Here n is the dimension. In this paper we are interested in the case $k < n/2$. The Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass introduced in [20] is defined

$$m_k = m_{GBC} = c(n,k) \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{S_r} P_{(k)}^{ijlm} \partial_m g_{jl} \nu_i dS, \tag{1.2}$$

with

$$c(n,k) = \frac{(n-2k)!}{2^{k-1}(n-1)!\omega_{n-1}},$$

where ω_{n-1} is the volume of $(n-1)$-dimensional standard unit sphere and S_r is the Euclidean coordinate sphere, dS is the volume element on S_r induced by the Euclidean metric, ν is the outward unit normal to S_r in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the derivative is the ordinary partial derivative. Here the tensor $P_{(k)}$ is decided by the decomposition

$$L_k = P_{(k)}^{ijlm} R_{ijlm}. \tag{1.3}$$

The project is partly supported by SFB/TR71 "Geometric partial differential equations" of DFG.

In this paper we use the Einstein summation convention. The tensor $P_{(k)}$ has a crucial property of divergence-free, which guarantees the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass is well-defined and is a geometric invariant, under a suitable decay condition. See Section 2 below or [20]. When $k = 1$,

$$P_{(1)}^{ijlm} = \frac{1}{2}(g^{il}g^{jm} - g^{im}g^{jl}),$$

and m_1 is just the ADM mass introduced by Arnowitt, Deser, and Misner [1] for asymptotically flat Riemannian manifolds. For a similar mass see also [30].

A complete manifold $(\mathcal{M}^n, g)$ is said to be an asymptotically flat (AF) of order τ (with one end) if there is a compact K such that $\mathcal{M} \setminus K$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(0)$ for some $R > 0$ and in the standard coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^n$, the metric g has the following expansion

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \sigma_{ij},$$

with

$$|\sigma_{ij}| + r|\partial\sigma_{ij}| + r^2|\partial^2\sigma_{ij}| = O(r^{-\tau}),$$

where r and ∂ denote the Euclidean distance and the standard derivative operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ respectively. The condition for the welldefinedness of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass is

$$\tau > \frac{n-2k}{k+1}, \tag{1.4}$$

and L_k is integrable over $\mathcal{M}$. In this case, the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass is a geometric invariant, which is a generalization of the work of Bartnik for the ADM mass m_1 [2].

The positive mass theorem for the ADM mass $m_{ADM} = m_1$, which plays an important role in differential geometry, was proved by Schoen and Yau [35] for $3 \leq n \leq 7$ and by Witten for general spin manifolds. See aslo [31, 32]. Its refinement, the Penrose inequality, was proved by Huisken-Ilmanen [24] and Bray [3] for $n = 3$ and Bray-Lee [7] for $n \leq 7$. Recently there are many interesting works on special, but interesting classes of asymptotically flat manifolds. In [28] Lam showed the positive mass theorem and the Penrose inequality for asymptotically flat graphs in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by using an elementary, but elegant proof. See also the generalizations of Lam's work in [16, 17, 25, 26]. The Penrose type inequality is proved for conformally flat manifolds by Freire-Schwartz [18], Jauregui [27] and Schwartz [36] by using the relation between mass and the capacity. This relation was used already in the proof of Penrose inequality in [3]. For this relation, see also [5] and [8]. It is interesting to see that there is a deep relation between the AMD mass and various geometric objects.

We are interested in generalizing the above results to our Gauss-Bonnet-Chern $m_{GBC} = m_k$ ($k \geq 2$). Motivated by the work of Lam [28], we showed a positive mass theorem and an optimal Penrose inequality when $\mathcal{M}$ is an asymptotically flat graphs in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ in [20]. This Penrose inequality establishes a relationship between the mass m_{GBC} and more geometric objects [20]. In this paper we are interested in studying m_{GBC} mass on conformally flat manifolds.

A *conformally flat* manifold with or without boundary, CF manifold for short, is a manifold $(\mathcal{M}^n, g) = (\mathbb{R}^n/\Omega, e^{-2u}\delta)$, where δ is the canonical Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$, Ω is a smooth bounded (possibly empty, not necessarily connected) open set and u is smooth. A CF manifold $(\mathcal{M}^n, g)$ is called an asymptotically flat CF manifold of decay order τ if

$$|u| + |x||\nabla u| + |x|^2|\nabla^2 u| = O(|x|^{-\tau}). \tag{1.5}$$

In this paper we always assume that $k < \frac{n}{2}$, $\tau > \frac{n-2k}{k+1}$ and L_k is integrable.

First we have a positive mass theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $(\mathcal{M}^n, g) = (\mathbb{R}^n, e^{-2u}\delta)$ *be an asymptotically flat CF manifold. Assume further that* $L_j(g) \geq 0$ *for any* $j \leq k$*. Then the mass* $m_{GBC} \geq 0$*. Moreover, equality holds if and only if* $u \equiv 0$*, i.e.,* $\mathcal{M}$ *is the Euclidean space.*

The condition $L_j(g) \geq 0$ for any $j \leq k$ here is equivalent to $g \in \Gamma_k$, which will be discussed in Section 2 below. A similar result was announced by Li-Nguyen in [30].

For the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass, m_{2j+1} has different behavior with m_{2j}. The former behaves like the ADM mass m_1 and the latter like m_2. For k even, we have also a positive mass theorem for metrics in a non-positive cone.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* k *be even and* $(\mathcal{M}^n, g) = (\mathbb{R}^n, e^{-2u}\delta)$ *be an asymptotically flat CF manifold. Assume* $(-1)^j L_j \geq 0$ *for any* $j \leq k$*. Then the mass* $m_{GBC} \geq 0$*. Moreover, equality holds if and only if* $u \equiv 0$*, i.e.,* $\mathcal{M}$ *is the Euclidean space.*

Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 provide a support for our conjecture on the positivity of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass in [20]. Furthermore, from our proof we have a Penrose type inequality.

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $(\mathcal{M}^n, g) = (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, e^{-2u}\delta)$ *be an asymptotically flat CF manifold. Assume that* Ω *is convex,* $\partial\mathcal{M} = (\Omega, e^{-2u}\delta)$ *is a horizon of* $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ *and* u *is constant on* $\partial\Omega$*. Assume further that* $L_j(g) \geq 0$ *for any* $j \leq k$*. Then we have Penrose type inequalities*

$$m_k \geq \left(\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-1}}. \tag{1.6}$$

Moreover, if $k \geq 2$*, we have the following strengthened Penrose type inequality*

$$m_k \geq \left(\frac{\int_{\partial\Omega} R}{(n-1)(n-2)\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-3}}, \tag{1.7}$$

where R *is the scalar curvature of* $\partial\Omega$ *as a hypersurface in* $\mathbb{R}^n$*.*

The assumptions on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ can be reduced by the result of Guan-Li [23] and the results could be slightly strengthened. For more details see Section 4 below. Unlike the Penrose inequality obtained in [20], this Penrose inequality is not optimal. Our Penrose inequality is motivated by the work of Jauregui in [27], who obtained (1.6) for $k = 1$. The idea is to express the mass via various integral identities.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definitions of the Gauss-Bonnet curvature L_k and the σ_k-scalar curvature and their relationship when the underlying manifolds are locally conformally flat. In Section 3 we prove the positive mass theorems, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.3 is proved in Section 4.

2. THE GAUSS-BONNET CURVATURES AND THE σ_k-SCALAR CURVATURES

We recall the definition of generalized *k-th Gauss-Bonnet curvature*

$$L_k := \frac{1}{2^k}\delta^{i_1 i_2\cdots i_{2k-1}i_{2k}}_{j_1 j_2\cdots j_{2k-1}j_{2k}} {R_{i_1 i_2}}^{j_1 j_2}\cdots {R_{i_{2k-1}i_{2k}}}^{j_{2k-1}j_{2k}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Here the generalized Kronecker delta is defined by

$$\delta^{j_1 j_2\cdots j_r}_{i_1 i_2,\cdots i_r} = \det\begin{pmatrix} \delta^{j_1}_{i_1} & \delta^{j_2}_{i_1} & \cdots & \delta^{j_r}_{i_1} \\ \delta^{j_1}_{i_2} & \delta^{j_2}_{i_2} & \cdots & \delta^{j_r}_{i_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \delta^{j_1}_{i_r} & \delta^{j_2}_{i_r} & \cdots & \delta^{j_r}_{i_r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

When $k=2$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 &= R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2 \\ &= |W|^2 + \frac{n-3}{n-2}\left(\frac{n}{n-1}R^2 - 4|Ric|^2\right) \\ &= |W|^2 + 8(n-2)(n-3)\sigma_2(A_g) \\ &= R_{ijkl}P^{ijkl}_{(2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$P^{ijkl}_{(2)} = R^{ijkl} + R^{jk}g^{il} - R^{jl}g^{ik} - R^{ik}g^{jl} + R^{il}g^{jk} + \frac{1}{2}R(g^{ik}g^{jl} - g^{il}g^{jk}), \tag{2.3}$$

W denotes the Weyl tensor, Ric the Ricci tensor, R the scalar curvature and

$$A_g := \frac{1}{n-2}\left(Ric - \frac{R}{2(n-1)}g\right),$$

the Schouten tensor. $P_{(2)}$ is the divergence-free part of the Riemann curvature tensor $Riem$. For the general L_k-curvature, the corresponding $P_{(k)}$ curvature is

$$P^{stlm}_{(k)} := \frac{1}{2^k}\delta^{i_1 i_2\cdots i_{2k-3}i_{2k-2}st}_{j_1 j_2\cdots j_{2k-3}j_{2k-2}j_{2k-1}j_{2k}} {R_{i_1 i_2}}^{j_1 j_2}\cdots {R_{i_{2k-3}i_{2k-2}}}^{j_{2k-3}j_{2k-2}} g^{j_{2k-1}l}g^{j_{2k}m}. \tag{2.4}$$

Recall that $L_k = P^{ijlm}_{(k)}R_{ijlm}$ and the tensor $P_{(k)}$ has the following crucial property.

Proposition 2.1. *The tensor $P_{(k)}$ has the same symmetry and anti-symmetry as the Riemann curvature tensor and satisfies*

$$\nabla_i P^{ijlm}_{(k)} = 0.$$

Proof. The case $k=1$ is trivial. We have proved the $k=2$ case in [20]. For the general case, it follows from the symmetry of the Riemann curvature tensor and the differential Bianchi identity. We skip the proof here. □

Now we consider the case that $(\mathcal{M}^n, g)$ is a conformally flat manifold of dimension $n \geq 5$. Namely, $(\mathcal{M}^n, g) = (\mathbb{R}^n, e^{-2u}\delta)$, where δ is the canonical Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In this case, the curvature L_k is just the σ_k-scalar curvature (up to a multiple constant), which was considered by Viaclovsky in [37] and has been intensively studied in the σ_k Yamabe problem.

For the convenience of the reader, we recall some basic properties on the elementary symmetric functions (see for example [22, 11, 37]). For $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the k-th elementary symmetric function is defined as

$$\sigma_k(\lambda) := \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots i_k} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k}.$$

The definition can be extended to symmetric matrices. For a symmetric matrix B, denote $\lambda(B) = (\lambda_1(B), \cdots, \lambda_n(B))$ be the eigenvalues of B. We set

$$\sigma_k(B) := \sigma_k(\lambda(B)).$$

We define also $\sigma_0(B) = 1$. Let I be the identity matrix. Then we have for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sigma_n(I + tB) = \det(I + tB) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sigma_i(B) t^i.$$

We recall the definition of the Garding cone: for $1 \leq k \leq n$, let Γ_k^+ (resp. Γ_k) is a cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ determined by

$$\Gamma_k^+ = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : \quad \sigma_1(\lambda) > 0, \cdots, \sigma_k(\lambda) > 0\}.$$

$$(\text{resp. } \Gamma_k = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : \quad \sigma_1(\lambda) \geq 0, \cdots, \sigma_k(\lambda) \geq 0\}).$$

A symmetric matrix B is called belong to Γ_k^+ (resp. Γ_k) if $\lambda(B) \in \Gamma_k^+$ (resp. $\lambda(B) \in \Gamma_k$). The k-th Newton transformation is defined as follows

$$(T_k)^i_j(B) := \frac{\partial \sigma_{k+1}}{\partial b^i_j}(B), \tag{2.5}$$

where $B = (b^i_j)$. If there is no confusion, we omit the index k. We recall some basic properties about σ_k and T.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_k(B) &= \frac{1}{k!} \delta^{i_1 \cdots i_k}_{j_1 \cdots j_k} b^{j_1}_{i_1} \cdots b^{j_k}_{i_k} = \frac{1}{k} \mathrm{tr}(T_{k-1} B), && (2.6)\\
(T_k)^i_j(B) &= \frac{1}{k!} \delta^{i i_1 \cdots i_k}_{j j_1 \cdots j_k} b^{j_1}_{i_1} \cdots b^{j_k}_{i_k} && (2.7)\\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sigma_{k-i}(B)(-B)^i = \sigma_k(B) I - \sigma_{k-1}(B) B + \cdots + (-1)^k B^k.
\end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that $\sigma_k^{1/k}$ is concave in Γ_k, which implies that

$$\sigma_k(A + B) \geq \sigma_k(A) + \sigma_k(B), \qquad \text{for any } A, B \in \Gamma_k. \tag{2.8}$$

The σ_k-scalar curvature $\sigma_k(g)$ is defined in [37] by

$$\sigma_k(g) := \sigma_k(g^{-1} A_g),$$

where A_g is the Schouten tensor of g.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $(\mathcal{M}^n, g)$ be a locally conformally flat metric of dimension n. Assume $2k < n$. Then*

$$L_k = 2^k k! \frac{(n-k)!}{(n-2k)!} \sigma_k(g). \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. We recall the decomposition of the Riemann curvature tensor

$$Riem = W + A \mathbin{\bigcirc\mkern-15mu\wedge} g.$$

As $W \equiv 0$, we have

$$R_{i_1 i_2}{}^{j_1 j_2} = A_{i_1}{}^{j_1} \delta_{i_2}{}^{j_2} + \delta_{i_1}{}^{j_1} A_{i_2}{}^{j_2} - A_{i_1}{}^{j_2} \delta_{i_2}{}^{j_1} - \delta_{i_1}{}^{j_2} A_{i_2}{}^{j_1}. \tag{2.10}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} L_k &= 2^k \delta^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}}_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}} A_{i_1}{}^{j_1} \delta_{i_2}{}^{j_2} \cdots A_{i_{2k-1}}{}^{j_{2k-1}} \delta_{i_{2k}}{}^{j_{2k}} \\ &= 2^k (n-k) \cdots (n-2k+1) \delta^{i_1 i_3 \cdots i_{2k-1}}_{j_1 j_3 \cdots j_{2k-1}} A_{i_1}{}^{j_1} \cdots A_{i_{2k-1}}{}^{j_{2k-1}} \\ &= 2^k k! (n-k) \cdots (n-2k+1) \sigma_k(A). \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the facts

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}}_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}} A_{i_1}{}^{j_1} \delta_{i_2}{}^{j_2} &= \delta^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}}_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}} \delta_{i_1}{}^{j_1} A_{i_2}{}^{j_2} \\ &= -\delta^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}}_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}} A_{i_1}{}^{j_2} \delta_{i_2}{}^{j_1} = -\delta^{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}}_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}} \delta_{i_1}{}^{j_2} A_{i_2}{}^{j_1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

For $k = \frac{n}{2}$ see [37]. Another important property will be the following.

Proposition 2.3. *(see* [37]*) Let $(\mathcal{M}^n, g)$ be a locally conformally flat manifold of dimension n. Then $T_{k-1}(A)$ is divergence-free.*

Without the conformal flatness Proposition 2.3 still holds for $k = 2$, i.e., T_1 is divergence-free, which was proved in [37].

3. Positive Mass Theorem for CF manifolds and Rigidity

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. For the proof we need one more well-known property.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be some smooth function. Denote $D^2 u = (u_{ij})$ be the hessian matrix of u with respect to Euclidean metric. Then $T_k(D^2 u)$ is divergence-free, that is,*

$$\partial_i T_k^{ij}(D^2 u) = \partial_j T_k^{ij}(D^2 u) = 0.$$

Remark 3.2. *Note that in Proposition 3.1 the divergence-free is with respect to the standard euclidean metric δ and in Proposition 2.3 the divergence-free is with respect to the metric $g = e^{-2u}\delta$.*

For an asymptotically flat CF manifold, we first have an equivalent form of Gauss-Bonnet-Chen mass defined by (1.2). By (1.3), (2.10) together with Proposition 2.1, we have

$$L_k = 4 P_{(k)}^{ijlm} A_{il} g_{jm} = -4 P_{(k)}^{ijjl} A_{il} e^{-2u}.$$

On the other hand, from (2.6) and (2.9) we have

$$L_k = 2^k(k-1)!\frac{(n-k)!}{(n-2k)!}(T_{k-1}(A))^{il}A_{il}.$$

For the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass (1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_k &:= \frac{(n-2k)!}{2^{k-1}(n-1)!\,\omega_{n-1}}\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{S_r} P_{(k)}^{ijlm}\partial_m g_{jl}\nu_i dS \\ &= \frac{(n-2k)!}{2^{k-1}(n-1)!\,\omega_{n-1}}\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{S_r} -2e^{-2u}P_{(k)}^{ijjl}u_l\nu_i dS. \end{aligned}$$

Combining all together, we thus obtain the following equivalent form of (1.2),

$$m_k = \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{(k-1)!(n-k)!}{(n-1)!\,\omega_{n-1}}\int_{S_r}(T_{k-1}(A))^{ij}u_j\nu_i dS. \tag{3.1}$$

This formula would be useful in the computation of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass. Now we start to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $g = e^{-2u}\delta$, a direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} Ric &= (n-2)(D^2u + \frac{1}{n-2}(\Delta u)\delta + du\otimes du - |\nabla u|^2), \\ R &= e^{2u}(2(n-1)\Delta u - (n-1)(n-2)|\nabla u|^2), \end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$A_g := \frac{1}{n-2}\left(Ric - \frac{Rg}{2(n-1)}\right) = D^2u - \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}I + du\otimes du. \tag{3.2}$$

Here ∇ and Δ are operators with respect to the Euclidean metric δ and D^2 are the Hessian operator. Since

$$T_{k-1}(D^2u) = T_{k-1}(A) + O(|x|^{-k\tau-2k+2}),$$

which follows from (1.5) and (2.7), we have by (3.1)

$$m_k = \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{(k-1)!(n-k)!}{(n-1)!\,\omega_{n-1}}\int_{S_r}(T_{k-1}(D^2u))^{ij}u_j\nu_i dS. \tag{3.3}$$

Applying Proposition 3.1 and Green's formula, we obtain

$$\int_{S_r}(T_{k-1}(D^2u))^{ij}u_j\nu_i dS = \int_{B_r}(T_{k-1}(D^2u))^{ij}u_{ij}dx = k\int_{B_r}\sigma_k(D^2u)dx. \tag{3.4}$$

Now, we write

$$D^2u = A + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}I - du\otimes du.$$

It is crucial to see that the matrix $\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}I - du\otimes du$ has one eigenvalue $-\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}$ and $n-1$ eigenvalues $\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}$. Therefore, $B := \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}I - du\otimes du \in \Gamma_k^+$ for $k < n/2$, for

$$\sigma_j(B) = \frac{(n-1)!(n-2j)}{2^j j!(n-j)!}|\nabla u|^{2j} \quad \text{for any } j \le k < n/2.$$

It follows from (2.8) that

$$\sigma_k(D^2u) = \sigma_k(A+B) \tag{3.5}$$

$$\geq \sigma_k(A)+\sigma_k(B) = \sigma_k(A) + \frac{(n-1)!(n-2k)}{2^k k!(n-k)!}|\nabla u|^{2k}. \tag{3.6}$$

Finally, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} m_k \geq \; & \frac{(n-2k)!}{2^k(n-1)!\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{(n-2k)u} L_k(g) dvol_g \\ & + \frac{n-2k}{2^k} \int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{(n-2k)u} |\nabla u|_g^{2k} dvol_g. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

This yields the positivity of the mass m_k. Moreover, if $m_k = 0$, we have $\nabla u \equiv 0$. Hence $u \equiv 0$, that is, g is the Euclidean metric. We finish the proof of the Theorem. □

Remark 3.3. *In the above proof, the calculations before (3.7) are with respect to the Euclidean metric δ, namely $\sigma_k(A)$ means $\sigma_k(\delta^{-1}A)$. Hence from (2.9) that $L_k = 2^k k! \frac{(n-k)!}{(n-2k)!} e^{2ku}\sigma_k(A)$, which has be used in (3.7).*

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $v := e^u$. Thus, the conformal metric is written as $g = v^{-2}\delta$. For such a representation of the metric, the Schouten tensor (3.2) can be written as

$$A = \frac{D^2 v}{v} - \frac{|\nabla v|^2 \delta}{2v^2}.$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be some sufficiently negative number to be fixed later. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, it follows from the decay condition (1.5) of u that

$$(T_{k-1}(D^2u))^{ij} u_j \nu_i = v^\alpha (T_{k-1}(D^2v))^{ij} v_j \nu_i + O(|x|^{-(k+1)\tau - 2k+1}),$$

which implies from (2.7) and (3.1)

$$m_k = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{(k-1)!(n-k)!}{(n-1)!\;\omega_{n-1}} \int_{S_r} v^\alpha (T_{k-1}(D^2v))^{ij} v_j \nu_i dS. \tag{3.8}$$

Thus, a direct calculation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} m_k &= \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{(k-1)!(n-k)!}{(n-1)!\;\omega_{n-1}} \int_{S_r} v^\alpha (T_{k-1}(D^2v))^{ij} v_j \nu_i dS \\ &= \frac{(k-1)!(n-k)!}{(n-1)!\;\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^\alpha (T_{k-1}(D^2v))^{ij} v_{ji} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{(k-1)!(n-k)!}{(n-1)!\;\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^\alpha (T_{k-1}(D^2v))^{ij}{}_{,i} v_j dx \\ &\quad + \frac{(k-1)!(n-k)!\alpha}{(n-1)!\;\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1} (T_{k-1}(D^2v))^{ij} v_i v_j dx. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from Proposition (3.1) that $(T_{k-1}(D^2v))^{ij}{}_{,i} = 0$ and also

$$(T_{k-1}(D^2v))^{ij} v_{ji} = k\sigma_k(D^2v).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_k &= \frac{k!(n-k)!}{(n-1)!\,\omega_{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha}\sigma_k(D^2v)dx \\ &\quad +\frac{(k-1)!(n-k)!\alpha}{(n-1)!\,\omega_{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1}(T_{k-1}(D^2v))^{ij}v_iv_jdx. \end{aligned}$$

We will try to write the integral of the right hand in terms of $\sigma_i(D^2v)$ and $|\nabla v|^{2i}$, then in terms of $\sigma_i(A)$ and $|\nabla v|^{2i}$ for $0\le i\le k$.

Directly from the definition of the Newton tensor, we know

$$T_i(D^2v)=\sigma_i(D^2v)I-T_{i-1}(D^2v)D^2v=\sigma_i(D^2v)I-D^2vT_{i-1}(D^2v).$$

It follows, together with the partial integration

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1}(T_{k-1}(D^2v))^{ij}v_iv_jdx \\ =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1}\sigma_{k-1}(D^2v)|\nabla v|^2-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1}(T_{k-2}(D^2v))^{il}v_{jl}v_jv_i \\ =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1}\sigma_{k-1}(D^2v)|\nabla v|^2-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1}(T_{k-2}(D^2v))^{ij}(|\nabla v|^2)_jv_i \\ =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1}\sigma_{k-1}(D^2v)|\nabla v|^2+\frac{\alpha-1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-2}(T_{k-2}(D^2v))^{ij}|\nabla v|^2v_iv_j \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1}(T_{k-2}(D^2v))^{ij}{}_{,j}|\nabla v|^2v_i+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1}(T_{k-2}(D^2v))^{ij}|\nabla v|^2v_{ij} \\ =&\ \frac{k+1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1}\sigma_{k-1}(D^2v)|\nabla v|^2+\frac{\alpha-1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-2}(T_{k-2}(D^2v))^{ij}|\nabla v|^2v_iv_j. \end{aligned}$$

More generally, we have the following claim.

Claim. For all $1\le l\le k-2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1-l}(T_{k-1-l}(D^2v))^{ij}|\nabla v|^{2l}v_iv_j \\ =&\ \frac{k+l+1}{2(l+1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1-l}\sigma_{k-1-l}(D^2v)|\nabla v|^{2(l+1)} \\ &+\frac{\alpha-l-1}{2(l+1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-2-l}(T_{k-2-l}(D^2v))^{ij}|\nabla v|^{2(l+1)}v_iv_j. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

As above we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1-l}(T_{k-1-l}(D^2v))^{ij}|\nabla v|^{2l}v_iv_jdx \\ =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1-l}\sigma_{k-1-l}(D^2v)|\nabla v|^{2(l+1)}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1-l}(T_{k-2-l}(D^2v))^{ij}|\nabla v|^{2l}v_{im}v_mv_i \quad (3.10) \\ =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1-l}\sigma_{k-1-l}(D^2v)|\nabla v|^{2(l+1)}-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1-l}|\nabla v|^{2l}(T_{k-2-l}(D^2v))^{ij}(|\nabla v|^2)_jv_i. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1-l}|\nabla v|^{2l}(T_{k-2-l}(D^2v))^{ij}(|\nabla v|^2)_j v_i \\
= \;& \frac{\alpha-1-l}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-2-l}(T_{k-2-l}(D^2v))^{ij}|\nabla v|^{2(l+1)}v_iv_j \\
& +\frac{k-1-l}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1-l}\sigma_{k-1-l}(D^2v)|\nabla v|^{2(l+1)} \\
& +\frac{l}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1-l}(T_{k-2-l}(D^2v))^{ij}|\nabla v|^{2l}(|\nabla v|^2)_j v_i,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1-l}|\nabla v|^{2l}(T_{k-2-l}(D^2v))^{ij}(|\nabla v|^2)_j v_i \\
= \;& \frac{\alpha-1-l}{2(l+1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-2-l}(T_{k-2-l}(D^2v))^{ij}|\nabla v|^{2(l+1)}v_iv_j \\
& +\frac{k-1-l}{2(l+1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1-l}\sigma_{k-1-l}(D^2v)|\nabla v|^{2(l+1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Going back to (3.10), the desired claim yields. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1}(T_{k-1}(D^2v))^{ij}v_iv_j dx \\
= \;& \frac{k+1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1}\sigma_{k-1}(D^2v)|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-k+1)}{2^{k-1}(k-1)!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-k}|\nabla v|^{2k} \\
& +\sum_{l=2}^{k-1}\frac{(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-l+1)(k+l)}{2^l l!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-l}|\nabla v|^{2l}\sigma_{k-l}(D^2v).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(n-1)!\,\omega_{n-1}}{(k-1)!(n-k)!}m_k \\
= \;& k\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha}\sigma_k(D^2v)dx + \frac{(k+1)\alpha}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-1}\sigma_{k-1}(D^2v)|\nabla v|^2 \\
& +\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-k+1)}{2^{k-1}(k-1)!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-k}|\nabla v|^{2k} \\
& +\sum_{l=2}^{k-1}\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-l+1)(k+l)}{2^l l!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha-l}|\nabla v|^{2l}\sigma_{k-l}(D^2v).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Now we want to write m_k in terms of $\sigma_l(A)$ and $|\nabla v|^{2l}$. Recall

$$D^2v = vA + \frac{|\nabla v|^2 I}{2v},$$

so that for all $1 \le l \le k$ we have

$$\sigma_l(D^2v) = v^l\sigma_l(A + \frac{|\nabla v|^2 I}{2v^2}) = v^l\sum_{j=0}^{l} C_{n-j}^{l-j}\sigma_j(A)\left(\frac{|\nabla v|^2}{2v^2}\right)^{l-j},$$

where $C_{n-j}^{k-j}=\frac{(n-j)!}{(n-k)!(k-j)!}$. From (3.11), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(n-1)!\,\omega_{n-1}}{(k-1)!(n-k)!}m_k\\
=\;& k\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha+k}\sum_{j=0}^{k} C_{n-j}^{k-j}\sigma_j(A)\left(\frac{|\nabla v|^2}{2v^2}\right)^{k-j}\\
&+(k+1)\alpha\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha+k}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} C_{n-j}^{k-1-j}\sigma_j(A)\left(\frac{|\nabla v|^2}{2v^2}\right)^{k-j}\\
&+\frac{2\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-k+1)}{(k-1)!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha+k}\left(\frac{|\nabla v|^2}{2v^2}\right)^{k}\\
&+\sum_{l=2}^{k-1}\sum_{j=0}^{k-l}\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-l+1)(k+l)}{l!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha+k}C_{n-j}^{k-l-j}\sigma_j(A)\left(\frac{|\nabla v|^2}{2v^2}\right)^{k-j}\\
=\;&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\alpha+k}\sum_{j=0}^{k} P_{k-j}(\alpha)\sigma_j(A)\left(\frac{|\nabla v|^2}{2v^2}\right)^{k-j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here for all $0\le j\le k$, $P_j(\alpha)$ is a polynomial of degree j in α with a leading coefficient equal to k when $j=0$, to $k+1$ when $j=1$, to $\frac{2k-j}{(k-j)!}$ when $2\le j\le k-1$ and to $\frac{2}{(k-1)!}$ when $j=k$. Therefore, we can choose sufficiently negative number $\alpha<0$ such that $(-1)^jP_j(\alpha)>0$ for all $0\le j\le k$. By the assumptions $(-1)^jL_j\ge 0$ for all $1\le j\le k$, which are equivalent to $(-1)^j\sigma_j(A)\ge 0$, we have

$$P_{k-j}(\alpha)\sigma_j(A)=(-1)^{k-j}P_{k-j}(-1)^j\sigma_j(A)\ge 0,$$

i.e., each term on the right hand side in the last inequality is non-negative. This gives $m_k\ge 0$. Here we need that k is even. Moreover, if $m_k=0$, we have $\nabla v\equiv 0$, and hence v is a constant 1 and $\mathcal{M}$ is the standard euclidean space. We finish the proof. □

4. Penrose type inequality

Let $(\mathcal{M}^n,g)=(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\Omega,e^{-2u}\delta)$ be now a CF manifold, where Ω is a bounded domain such that each connected component of Ω is star-shaped such that the second fundamental form of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is in the cone $\Gamma^+_{k-1}(\partial\Omega)$. As before, we assume $2k<n$, $g\in\Gamma_k$, L_k integrable and u satisfies the decay condition at the infinity

$$|u|+|x||\nabla u|+|x|^2|\nabla^2u|=O(|x|^{-\tau}),$$

with $\tau>\frac{n-2k}{k+1}$. First, we assume Ω has just one connected component.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(\mathcal{M},g)=(\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\Omega,e^{-2u}\delta)$ satisfy the above assumptions. Assume, in addition, that $\partial\mathcal{M}$ is a horizon on $(\mathcal{M},g)$ (i.e. $\partial\mathcal{M}=\partial\Omega\subset\mathcal{M}$ is minimal) and u is constant on $\partial\Omega$.*

Then we have the following Penrose type inequality

$$\begin{aligned} m_k \geq\ & \frac{(n-2k)!}{2^k(n-1)!\,\omega_{n-1}}\int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{(n-2k)u}L_k(g)dvol_g \\ & +\frac{n-2k}{2^k}\int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{(n-2k)u}|\nabla u|_g^{2k}dvol_g+\left(\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-1}} \\ \geq\ & \left(\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Moreover, if we assume the second fundamental form of $\partial\Omega$ is in the cone Γ_{2k-1} $(k \geq 2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_k \geq\ & \frac{(n-2k)!}{2^k(n-1)!\,\omega_{n-1}}\int_{M} e^{(n-2k)u}L_k(g)dvol_g \\ & +\frac{n-2k}{2^k}\int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{(n-2k)u}|\nabla u|_g^{2k}dvol_g+\left(\frac{\int_{\partial\Omega}R}{(n-1)(n-2)\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-3}} \\ \geq\ & \left(\frac{\int_{\partial\Omega}R}{(n-1)(n-2)\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-3}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Here R is the scalar curvature of $\partial\Omega$ as a hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Applying Proposition 3.1 and Green's formula, we obtain

$$\int_{S_r}(T_{k-1}(D^2u))^{ij}u_j\nu_i dS-\int_{\partial\Omega}(T_{k-1}(D^2u))^{ij}u_j\nu_i dS=k\int_{B_r\setminus\Omega}\sigma_k(D^2u)dx, \tag{4.3}$$

for large $r>0$. The argument given in the proof of Theorem 1.1, together with (3.5) to (3.8), implies

$$\begin{aligned} m_k \geq\ & \frac{(n-2k)!}{2^k(n-1)!\omega_{n-1}}\int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{(n-2k)u}L_k(g)dvol_g \\ & +\frac{n-2k}{2^k}\int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{(n-2k)u}|\nabla u|_g^{2k}dvol_g \\ & +\frac{(k-1)!(n-2k)!}{(n-1)!\,\omega_{n-1}}\int_{\partial\Omega}(T_{k-1}(D^2u))^{ij}u_j\nu_i dS. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Recall ν is the normal vector pointing to the infinity. Since $\partial\mathcal{M}$ is a horizon of $\mathcal{M}$, the mean curvature of $\partial\mathcal{M}$ is equal to zero at the boundary. We denote H the mean curvature of $\partial\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. As g is a conformal metric, the mean curvature of $\partial\mathcal{M}$ is equal to $e^u(H-(n-1)\langle\nabla u,\nu\rangle)$. Therefore, on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ we have

$$H-(n-1)\langle\nabla u,\nu\rangle=0. \tag{4.5}$$

In particular, $\langle\nabla u,\nu\rangle>0$ on the boundary, since we assume the second fundamental form L is in the cone $\Gamma^+_{k-1}(\partial\Omega)$. On the other hand, from the non-negativity of the scalar curvature, we have

$$\Delta u \geq 0.$$

Hence, by the Maximum principle, we deduce $u \leq 0$ in Ω. For all $x \in \partial\Omega$, we split $T_x\mathbb{R}^n = T_x\partial\Omega \oplus \mathbb{R}\nu$ as the sum of tangential part and normal part. Let e_β $(1 \leq \beta \leq n-1)$ a basis of $\partial\Omega$ and $e_n = \nu$. And Let $B = (D^2u(e_i, e_j))_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$ be the Hessian matrix and $B' = (D^2u(e_\alpha, e_\beta))_{1\leq\alpha,\beta\leq n-1}$ the first $(n-1)\times(n-1)$ block in B. Recall that u is a constant on the boundary $\partial\Omega$. We have for all $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1$

$$D^2u(e_\alpha, e_\beta) = \langle \nabla u, \nu\rangle L(e_\alpha, e_\beta), \tag{4.6}$$

where L is the second fundamental form with respect to the normal vector $-\nu$. Hence, we can compute

$$(T_{k-1}(D^2u))^{ij}u_j\nu_i = \langle \nabla u, \nu\rangle \frac{\partial\sigma_k(B)}{\partial b_{nn}} = \langle \nabla u, \nu\rangle \sigma_{k-1}(B'). \tag{4.7}$$

Here we have used the fact $\nabla_\beta u = 0$ on the boundary. Gathering (4.5) to (4.7), we deduce

$$(T_{k-1}(D^2u))^{ij}u_j\nu_i = \langle \nabla u, \nu\rangle^k \sigma_{k-1}(L) = \frac{1}{(n-1)^k}\sigma_1(L)^k\sigma_{k-1}(L). \tag{4.8}$$

Recall that in the Garding cone Γ_m^+, we have the Newton-MacLaurin inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_{m-1}\sigma_{m+1}}{\sigma_m^2} &\leq \frac{m(n-m-1)}{(m+1)(n-m)}, &(4.9)\\ \frac{\sigma_1\sigma_{m-1}}{\sigma_m} &\geq \frac{m(n-1)}{n-m}. &(4.10)\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$T_{k-1}(D^2u))^{ij}u_j\nu_i \geq \left(\frac{(k-1)!}{(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}\right)^{\frac{k}{k-1}} \sigma_{k-1}(L)^{\frac{2k-1}{k-1}}.$$

From the Hölder inequality and the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality (see [34], [23] and [12] for example), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega}(T_{k-1}(D^2u))^{ij}u_j\nu_i dS &\geq \left(\frac{(k-1)!}{(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}\right)^{\frac{k}{k-1}} \int_{\partial\Omega}\sigma_{k-1}(L)^{\frac{2k-1}{k-1}}\\ &\geq \left(\frac{(k-1)!}{(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}\right)^{\frac{k}{k-1}} \left(\int_{\partial\Omega}\sigma_{k-1}(L)\right)^{\frac{2k-1}{k-1}} |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{-k}{k-1}}\\ &\geq \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!}\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2k-1}{n-1}}|\partial\Omega|^{\frac{n-2k}{n-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Going back to (4.4), we get the desired inequality (4.1). Now, assume $L \in \Gamma_{2k-1}$, it follows from the Newton-MacLaurin inequality that

$$\frac{1}{(n-1)^k}\sigma_1(L)^k\sigma_{k-1}(L) \geq \frac{(2k-1)!(n-2k)!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!}\sigma_{2k-1}(L).$$

Hence, again by the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega}(T_{k-1}(D^2u))^{ij}u_j\nu_i dS &\geq \frac{(2k-1)!(n-2k)!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!}\int_{\partial\Omega}\sigma_{2k-1}(L)\\ &\geq \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!}\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{2k-3}{n-3}}\left(\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{2\sigma_2(L)}{(n-1)(n-2)}\right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-3}}.\end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.4), we prove inequality (4.2) and finish the proof. □

Remark 4.2. *In (4.2), the scalar curvature R could be replaced by other high order curvature tensor of order small than k which establishes a relationship between the mass m_{GBC} and more geometric objects.*

Remark 4.3. *We remark that when $k = 1$, our mass $m_1 = m_{ADM}$. In this case the Penrose inequality in Theorem 4.1 is*

$$m_1 \geq \left(\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}},$$

which was already proved in [27]*. In fact, our Penrose inequality is motivated by his work. Note that we have taken a different test function comparing with the paper* [27]*.*

Let Ω_i be the components of Ω, $i = 1, \cdots l$, and let $\Sigma_i = \partial\Omega_i$. If we assume that each Σ_i is a horizon, we have the following

Corollary 4.4. *With the same condition of Theorem 4.1, and the additional condition that each Σ_i is a horizon Then we have the the following Penrose type inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}m_k &\geq \frac{(n-2k)!}{2^k(n-1)!\,\omega_{n-1}}\int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{(n-2k)u}L_k(g)dvol_g\\ &\quad+\frac{n-2k}{2^k}\int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{(n-2k)u}|\nabla u|_g^{2k}dvol_g+\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left(\frac{|\Sigma_i|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-1}}\\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{l}\left(\frac{|\Sigma_i|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-1}} \geq \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{l}|\Sigma_i|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if we assume the second fundamental form of $\partial\Omega$ is in the cone Γ_{2k-1} ($k \geq 2$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}m_k &\geq \frac{(n-2k)!}{2^k(n-1)!\,\omega_{n-1}}\int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{(n-2k)u}L_k(g)dvol_g\\ &\quad+\frac{n-2k}{2^k}\int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{(n-2k)u}|\nabla u|_g^{2k}dvol_g+\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left(\frac{\int_{\Sigma_i}R}{(n-1)(n-2)\,\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-3}}\\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{l}\left(\frac{\int_{\Sigma_i}R}{(n-1)(n-2)\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-3}} \geq \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{l}\int_{\Sigma_i}R}{(n-1)(n-2)\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-3}}.\end{aligned}$$

Here R is the scalar curvature of $\partial\Omega$ as a hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Example 4.5. *($\mathcal{M}^n = I \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, g$) with coordinates ($\rho, \theta$), general Schwardschild metrics are given*

$$g^k_{\text{Sch}} = (1 - \frac{2m}{\rho^{\frac{n}{k}-2}})^{-1} d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\Theta^2,$$

where $d\Theta^2$ is the round metric in $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$ is the "total mass" of corresponding black hole solutions in the Lovelock gravity [15, 10]*. When $k = 1$ we recover the Schwarzschild solutions of the Einstein gravity.*

Motivated by the Schwarzschild solutions, the above metrics also have the following form of conformally flat which is more convenient for computation ([20]).

$$g^k_{\text{Sch}} = (1 - \frac{2m}{\rho^{\frac{n}{k}-2}})^{-1} \rho^2 + \rho^2 d\Theta^2 = (1 + \frac{m}{2r^{\frac{n}{k}-2}})^{\frac{4k}{n-2k}} (dr^2 + r^2 d\Theta^2).$$

For this metric the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass $m_k = m^k$ (one can check it by (4.11) below) and the black hole (i.e. the horizon) $\Sigma = \partial\Omega = \{r = r_0 = (\frac{m}{2})^{\frac{k}{n-2k}}\}$ and its area is

$$|\Sigma| = \omega_{n-1} r_0^{n-1},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} m_k &= m^k = (2r_0^{\frac{n-2k}{k}})^k \\ &= 2^k \left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-1}} = \frac{1}{2^k} \left(\frac{|\Sigma|_{g^k_{\text{Sch}}}}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-1}} . \end{aligned}$$

We remark that the Penrose inequality in Theorem 1.3 is not optimal, since in Theorem 1.3 the area of Σ is computed with the Euclidean metric δ, not with the metric $g = e^{-2u}\delta$ itself. In general, if ($\mathcal{M}^n, g$) is spherically symmetric, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.6. *Suppose ($\mathcal{M}^n, g$) is asymptotically flat CF manifold with $g = e^{-2u(r)}\delta$, ie., ($\mathcal{M}^n, g$) is spherically symmetric, then*

$$m_k = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{S_r} \frac{(u_r)^k}{r^{k-1}} dS_r. \tag{4.11}$$

If k is even, we always have $m_k \geq 0$.

Proof. We adopt the equivalent form (3.3) to calculate the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass. Denote the radial derivative of u by $u_r \triangleq \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}$. We consider $\Omega = B_r$ being the ball centered at the origin with radius equal to r. Thus Ω can be seen as a level set of u which enable us to use the formulae in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $(e_1, \cdots, e_{n-1})$ be an orthonormal basis of tangent plane on the boundary $\partial\Omega$. It follows from (4.6) that for all $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1$, we have

$$D^2 u(e_\alpha, e_\beta) = \frac{u_r}{r} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

since the second fundamental form on $\partial\Omega = S_r$ is equal to $\frac{1}{r} I$ where I is the identity map. By (4.7) we have

$$T_{k-1}(D^2 u)^{ij} u_i \nu_j = \frac{(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{(k-1)! r^{k-1}} u_r^k.$$

Going back to (3.3), we get the desired result (4.11). □

REFERENCES

[1] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C. W. Misner, *Coordinate invariance and energy expressions in general relativity,* Phys. Rev. (2) **122** (1961), 997–1006.

[2] R. Bartnik, *The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **34** (1986) 661–693.

[3] H. L. Bray, *Proof of the Riemannian Penrose inequality using the positive mass theorem*, J. Differential Geom. **59** (2001), no. 2, 177-267.

[4] H. L. Bray, *On the positive mass, Penrose, an ZAS inequalities in general dimension*, Surveys in Geometric Analysis and Relativity, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 20, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, (2011).

[5] H.L. Bray and K. Iga, *Superharmonic functions in Rn and the Penrose inequality in general relativity,* Comm. Anal. Geom. **10** (2002), no. 5, 999–1016.

[6] H.L. Bray and J.L. Jauregui,*A geometric theory of zero area singularities in general relativity*, (2009), **arXiv0909.0522**.

[7] H. L. Bray and D. A. Lee, *On the Riemannian Penrose inequality in dimensions less than eight*, Duke Math. J. **148** (2009), no. 1, 81–106.

[8] H. L. Bray, P. Miao, *On the capacity of surfaces in manifolds with nonnegative scalar curvature*, Invent. Math. **172** (2008), no. 3, 459–475.

[9] D.G. Boulware, S Deser, Physical Review Letters, **55** (1985) 2656

[10] R.G. Cai, N. Ohta, *Black holes in pure Lovelock gravities,*.Phys. Rev. D **74**(2006), 064001.

[11] S.-Y. A. Chang and F. Hang, *Integral identities and Minkowski type inequalities involving schouten tensor,* Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2007, no. 11, Art. ID rnm037, 17 pp.

[12] S.-Y. A. Chang and Y.Wang, *On Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequalities for k-convex domains,* Milan J. Math., **79** (2011), no. 1, 13–38.

[13] S. S. Chern, *A simple intrinsic proof of the Gauss-Bonnet formula for closed Riemannian manifolds,* Ann. of Math. (2) **45** (1944), 747–752.

[14] S. S. Chern, *On the curvatura integra in a Riemannian manifold,* Ann. of Math. (2) **46** (1945). 674–684.

[15] J. Crisóstomo, R. Troncoso, J. Zanelli, *Black hole scan,* Phys. Rev. D, (3) **62** (2000), no.8, 084013.

[16] L. L. de Lima and F. Girão, *The ADM mass of asymptotically flat hypersurfaces,* arXiv:1108.5474, to appear in Trans. AMS.

[17] L. L. de Lima and F. Girão, *A rigidity result for the graph case of the Penrose inequality,* **arXiv:1205.1132**, (2012).

[18] A. Freire and F. Schwartz, *Masscapacity inequalities for conformally flat manifolds with boundary,* **arXiv:1107.1407**.

[19] L. Garding, *An inequality for hyperbolic polynomials,* J. Math. Mech. 8 (1959), 957-965.

[20] Y. Ge, G. Wang and J. Wu, *A new mass for asymptotically flat manifolds,* arXiv:1211.3645.

[21] Y. Ge, G. Wang and J. Wu, *A positive mass theorem in the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory,* **arXiv:1211.7305**

[22] P. Guan , *Curvature measures, isoperimetric type inequalities and fully nonlinear PDES,* Leture notes.

[23] P. Guan and J. Li, *The quermassintegral inequalities for k-convex starshaped domains*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 5, 1725–1732.

[24] G. Huisken and T. Ilmanen, *The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality,* J. Differential Geom. **59** (2001), no. 3, 353–437.

[25] L.-H. Huang and D. Wu, *Hypersurfaces with nonnegative scalar curvature*, **arXiv:1102.5749**.

[26] L.-H. Huang and D. Wu, *The equality case of the Penrose inequality for asymptotically flat graphs,* **arXiv:1205.2061**.

[27] J. Jauregui, *Penrose-type inequalities with a Euclidean background*, **arXiv:1108.4042**, (2011).

[28] M.-K. G. Lam, *The graph cases of the Riemannian positive mass and Penrose inequality in all dimensions*, **arXiv:1010.4256**.

[29] C. Lanczos, *A remarkable property of the Riemann-Christoffel tensor in four dimensions* Ann. of Math. (2) **39** (1938), no. 4, 842–850.

[30] Y. Li and L. Nguyen, *A generalized mass involving higher order symmetric function of the curvature tensor,* **arXiv:1211.3676**.
[31] J. Lohkamp, *Scalar curvature and hammocks,* Math. Ann. **313** (1999), no. 3, 385–407
[32] J. Lohkamp, *The Higher Dimensional Positive Mass Theorem I*, **arXiv:0608795v1**.
[33] D. Lovelock, *The Einstein tensor and its generalizations* J. Math. Phys. **12** (1971) 498–501.
[34] R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory,* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1993).
[35] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, *On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity,* Comm. Math. Phys. **65** (1979), 45–76.
[36] F. Schwartz, *A volumetric Penrose inequality for conformally flat manifolds,* Ann. Henri Poincaré, **12** (2011), 67–76.
[37] J. Viaclovsky, *Conformal geometry, contact geometry and the calculus of variations*, Duke J. Math. **101** (2000), no. 2, 283–316.
[38] J. T. Wheeler, *Symmetric Solutions to the Maximally Gauss- Bonnet Extended EinsteinEquations,* Nucl. Phys., B **268** (1986) 737.
[39] E. Witten, *A new proof of the Positive Energy Theorem,* Commun. Math. Phys. **80** (1981), 381–402.

Laboratoire d'Analyse et de Mathématiques Appliquées, CNRS UMR 8050, Département de Mathématiques, Université Paris Est-Créteil Val de Marne,, 61 avenue du Général de Gaulle, 94010 Créteil Cedex, France
E-mail address: `ge@u-pec.fr`

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Mathematisches Institut Eckerstr. 1 D-79104 Freiburg
E-mail address: `guofang.wang@math.uni-freiburg.de`

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China Hefei 230026, P. R. China and Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Mathematisches Institut Eckerstr. 1 D-79104 Freiburg
E-mail address: `jie.wu@math.uni-freiburg.de`